

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/672,735	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KMIEC ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sarae Bausch	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Sarae Bausch.

 (2) Pamela Politis.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 January 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 6, 34, and 36-41*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreed to cancel claims 6, 34, 36-41. Claims 6 and 36-41 were previously withdrawn claims drawn to a non-elected invention and claim 34 lacked antecedent basis. Applicants representative agreed to cancel the withdrawn claims as well as claim 34*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)